

EPA REGION 6 AIR INSPECTION REPORT

FRS #: 110000504268 Inspection Dates: October 10-12, 2012

AFS #: 48-201-00153

Type of inspection: Clean Air Act, Partial Compliance Evaluation

Company Name: INTERCONTINENTAL TERMINALS COMPANY LLC

Facility Name: INTERCONTINENTAL TERMINALS DEER PARK TERMINAL Physical Location: 1943 Independence Parkway South (also known as Battleground Road)

Deer Park, Texas 77536

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 698

Deer Park, Texas 77536-0698

County/Parish: Harris County

Reg. Programs: SIP, Title V, MACT, NESHAP, and NSPS

SIC Code: 4226 and 4953

Facility Representatives:

Michael J. Gaudet	Environmental Compliance Manager	281-884-0360
-------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------

VP, Safety, Health, &

Carl Holley Environmental Security & 281-884-0350

Regulatory Compliance

Mike Vanegas Safety Specialist 281-884-0354
Bob Pennacchi, PE Sr. VP Operations 281-884-0239
Mark Language Chief Financial Officers 281-884-0212

Mark Jeansonne Chief Financial Officer 281-884-0312

EPA Inspectors:

Daniel Hoyt 6EN-AS Env. Engineer 214-665-7326 Cary Secrest HQ-OECA Env. Scientist 202-564-8661

Enforcement Officer:

EPA Inspector:

Daniel Hoyt, Environmental Engineer (Date)

Daniel Hoyt, Environmental Engineer (Date)

Reviewed By:

Margaret Osbourne, Environmental Scientist (Date)

Executive Summary:

This inspection report is comprised of four sections:

- Section I Introduction includes the following topics:
 - o purpose of the inspection,
 - o facility description,
 - maps of the facility and detailed process descriptions (These are referenced in designated ATTACHMENTS.)
- Section II Observations
- Section III Areas of Concern. The issues stated in Section III in this report were
 identified during the time of this inspection and do not preclude any further
 enforcement document review, legal review or further enforcement action.

Section I - INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE OF THE INSPECTION

The inspection team, including me, EPA Region 6 inspector Daniel Hoyt, and EPA Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assistance, Air Enforcement Division inspector Cary Secrest, arrived at the Intercontinental Terminals Company LLC, Deer Park Terminal (ITC Deer Park) at 9:50 am on October 10, 2012, for an unannounced inspection. We met with Michael J. Gaudet, the environmental compliance manager. Cary Secrest presented his credentials, and I presented my EPA identification. Cary Secrest informed Mr. Gaudet that this was an EPA inspection to determine compliance with the Clean Air Act (CAA), that the scope of the inspection was a partial compliance evaluation (PCE) and included evaluation of the compliance of the facility with applicable CAA regulations, including Title V operating permit requirements and Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP) regulations. The objective was to systematically evaluate storage tanks, especially internal floating roof (IFR) tanks, and other sources, using an infrared (IR) camera for optical gas imaging and photo-ionization detectors (PID), detecting and identifying emissions sources for further investigation. The inspection was prompted by an analysis of stationary air monitoring data that I conducted, which indicated a significant air emissions source of benzene was located at or near the ITC Deer Park facility.

During the entry meeting, <u>Mr. Gaudet provided us with</u> a tank inventory list (see Attachment 1) and plot plans (see Attachment 2), <u>were provided by Mr. Gaudet</u>. Cary Secrest informed Mr. Gaudet that if any documents provided during the inspection contain confidential business information (CBI), those documents should be marked as confidential, and that EPA <u>will would</u> follow CBI requirements with respect to handling, storage and access to those documents. We watched a safety video and were introduced to Mike Vanegas, who was identified as our primary escort <u>for while conducting</u> the field portion of the inspection.

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The ITC Deer Park facility is a for-hire bulk liquid storage terminal. The site was originally constructed in 1971 and &-currently consists of 231 large aboveground storage tanks, tank truck and railcar transfer racks, docks and associated control devices (flares and thermal oxidizers).

Products stored and transferred at the facility include chemicals, petrochemicals, oils, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), and petroleum derived liquid products. Products are transferred into and out of the tanks via all modes of transportation, including tank trucks, railcars, barges, ships and pipelines. A detailed process description and process flow diagrams are included with Attachment 4 (ITC Deer Park CAA Section 114 information request response). The ITC Deer Park facility operates 24 hours per day and currently employs 220 full time employees. Intercontinental Terminals Company LLC is a subsidiary of Mitsui & Co. (USA), Inc. according to the Mitsui website ([HYPERLINK

"http://www.mitsui.com/us/en/business/1197064_3596.html"]).

Section II - OBSERVATIONS

Cary Secrest used an optical gas imaging IR camera to survey volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions sources, primarily tanks, at ITC Deer Park. Cary conducted IR camera surveys first in high sensitivity mode (HSM) for screening purposes, and then in full automatic mode (auto). Cary identified for follow up tanks with VOC emissions that were visible using the IR camera in both HSM and auto modes for follow up, so that additional information could be requested. I used two photo-ionization detectors to detect, verify and evaluate VOC emissions sources. One PID (Tiger) was equipped with a 10.6 eV lamp, and was calibrated with isobutylene. The other PID (Tiger Select) was equipped with a 10.0 eV glass filter that reduces the lamp output to 10.0 eV. The Tiger Select PID can be operated with a pre-filter tube to detect benzene-specific emissions, and was calibrated with benzene.

Video and image files referenced below, a spreadsheet file with all PID data collected during the inspection (ITC PID Master File 10 15 to 10 19 2012), and a summary spreadsheet file (Master Log of Data ITC) are included on a compact disk as Attachment 6. Attachment 7 presents the one photo that was taken during the inspection. An equipment list is included as Attachment 8, which identifies the equipment used by serial number. The PID calibration records, for the most recent calibrations prior to the inspection, as well as the records for the post-inspection calibration checks, are included as Attachment 9.

Cary and I targeted the largest IRF tanks for the PID/IR camera survey. We surveyed 20 tanks on October 10, 2012, 39 tanks on October 11, 2012, and 39 tanks plus two flares on October 12, 2012. The following table lists the tanks that were observed and that IR camera visible emissions in HSM and auto modes, which is an indication of a potential problem with the tanks:

Tank	Date, Time of	Fixed Roof or	Contents (True	Capacity	Year of
	Observation	IFR Seal Type	VOC Vapor	(Barrels)	Construction
	(Video File Name)		Pressure)		
60-3	10/10/12, 15:13	Fixed Roof	Fuel Oil Blend	60,000	1992
	(MOV_0424)		Stock (0.2 psi @		
			130F)		
80-2	10/10/12, 15:39	Mechanical shoe	Ethanol, 190-192.5	80,000	1976
	(MOV_0426)	w/secondary	Proof (1.48 psi @		
		wiper	80F)		
80-7	10/10/12, 16:06	Double wiper -	Pyrolysis Gasoline	80,000	1977
	(MOV_0431)	vapor mounted	(3.60 psi @ 80F)		
80-9	10/10/12, 16:00	Fixed Roof	No. 6 Fuel Oil (0.32	80,000	1977
	(MOV_430)		psi @ 130F)		
80-12	10/11/12, 9:44	Fixed Roof	No. 6 Fuel Oil (0.21	80,000	1977
	(MOV_0433) and		psi @ 116F)		
	9:47 (MOV_0434)				

Page [PAGE] of 9

Tank	Date, Time of Observation (Video File Name)	Fixed Roof or IFR Seal Type	Contents (True VOC Vapor Pressure)	Capacity (Barrels)	Year of Construction
80-20	10/10/12, 14:41 (MOV_0423)	Mechanical shoe w/secondary wiper	Pyrolysis Gasoline (5.8 psi @ 80F)	80,000	1979
80-25	10/11/12, 11:21 (MOV_0435) and 11:34 (MOV_0436)	Mechanical shoe w/secondary wiper	Methanol (2.75 psi @80F)	80,000	1991
160-1	10/12/12, 14:02 (MOV_0442)	Fixed Roof	Fuel Oil Blend Stock (0.18 psi @ 109F)	160,000	1980
160-2	10/12/12, 14:06 (MOV_0443)	Fixed Roof	Fuel Oil Blend Stock (0.06 psi @ 130F)	160,000	1980
160-3	10/12/12, 14:06 (MOV_0443)	Fixed Roof	Fuel Oil Blend Stock 0.16 psi @ 120F)	160,000	1980

Cary did not identify any concerns based on the IR camera surveys of the two flares and other the tanks that are not identified in the table above. Attachment 10 is a list of all tanks and other emissions sources that were lobserved during the IR camera/PID surveys, including inspection observations, tank levels that I recorded after observing tank level gauges, times that I conducted the PID surveys, IR camera video and image file names for the IR camera videos and images that were Cary recorded by Cary, and other available information about each observed tank.

Attachment 11 is the response from ITC Deer Park after the inspection, received October 29, 2012, which includes VOC vapor pressure analysis results and the records for the most recent external and internal IFR tanks inspections (as applicable), for the above noted tanks.

The only notable PID data that I recorded associated with FTC Deer Park, were PID readings downwind from Tanks 80-7—and 80-9 on October 10, 2012 around 16:03. I recorded, with 15-second average Tiger PID VOC concentrations up to 0.53 ppm as isobutylene and 15-second Tiger Select PID VOC concentrations up to 0.27 ppm as benzene. An IR camera photo taken by Cary and included as Attachment 7 shows emissions detected in auto mode coming from a vent on the roof of Tank 80-9.

The IR camera imaging by Cary Secrest of IFR Tanks 80-2, 80-7, 80-20 and 80-25 at ITC Deer Park indicates that the tanks' emissions may not be consistent with the limits or permit application representations of Texas Commission of Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Permit 1078, included as Attachment 12. Mike Venegas of ITC Deer Park confirmed during the inspection that the four tanks were not being filled or drawn down and the tank's floating roofs were not landed or in the process of being landed or refloated during the IR camera imaging by Cary. The hourly emissions limitation for these tanks in the maximum allowable emissions rate

table of Permit 1078 is for tank roof landings and/or working loss emissions. Permit 1078 application emissions representations for IFR Tanks 80-2 (ethanol), 80-7 (pyrolysis gasoline), 80-20 (pyrolysis gasoline) and 80-25 (methanol), for normal standing losses were included in permit application materials dated June 4, 2007 (see Attachment 13). The representations indicate the maximum normal standing losses for 31 IFR tanks with a 80,000 to 100,000 barrel capacity in methanol service is 5.922 lbs/hr, or around 0.19 lbs/hr per tank. The representations indicate the maximum normal standing losses for 31 IFR tanks with a 80,000 to 100,000 barrel capacity in ethanol service is 3.703 lbs/hr, or around 0.12 lbs/hr per tank. The representations indicate the maximum normal standing losses for 8 IFR tanks with a 80,000 to 100,000 barrel capacity in pyrolysis gasoline service is 5.336 lbs/hr, or around 0.67 lbs/hr per tank.

The IR camera imaging by Cary also indicates that the four IFR tanks may not be adequately inspected or maintained under applicable requirements of 30 TAC, Chapter 115 (all four), 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts A and Kb (Tank 80-25), 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts A and Ka (Tank 80-20), 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts A and K (Tanks 80-2 and 80-7), or 40 CFR Part 63, Subparts A and EEEE (all four). Attachment 11 indicates these four tanks all had recent external seal inspections, no more than two months prior to the date this inspection was conducted. The only issues identified during those external seal inspections were for Tank 80-20 (1/2 inch gap along 12 feet of the secondary seal) and Tank 80-7, which had liquid product accumulated "around the gage well from run off while sampling." No internal seal inspection records for Tanks 80-2, 80-7 and 80-20 were provided and the internal seal inspection record for Tank 80-25 indicted the seals were in compliant condition. Internal API tank inspections records were provided for all four tanks, which all occurred no more than three years prior to the date of this inspection, and numerous deficiencies were noted in the API tank inspection reports

Ex. 5 DP / Ex. 7(A)

Ex. 5 DP / Ex. 7(A)

The IR camera imaging by Cary of fixed roof tank 60-3 indicates that the tank's emissions may not be consistent with the federally enforceable certified emissions representations of permit by rule (PBR) Registration No. 95093. Mike Venegas of ITC Deer Park confirmed that the tank was not being filled or drawn down during the IR camera imaging by Cary. PBR registration representations dated February 21, 2011, for PBR Registration No. 95093, indicate breathing loss from this tank is insignificant and the breathing loss emissions representations were not quantified (see Attachment 14). Attachment 11 indicates the contents of this tank, fuel oil blend stock was sampled for true vapor pressure testing, and the results indicate the true VOC vapor pressure, at 130F, was 0.20 psi, less than 0.5 psi, which is the maximum allowed for fixed roof 60,000 barrel tanks without vent control.

Ex. 5 DP / Ex. 7(A)

Ex. 5 DP / Ex. 7(A)

IR camera imaging by Cary of fixed roof tanks 80-9, 80-12, 160-1, 160-2 and 160-3 indicates that the tanks' emissions may not be consistent with the VOC limits or permit application representations of Permit 1078. Mike Venegas of ITC Deer Park confirmed that the tanks were not being filled or drawn down during the IR camera imaging by Cary. The hourly emissions limitation for these tanks in the maximum allowable emissions rate table of Permit 1078 is for tank working loss emissions. Permit 1078 application emissions representations dated

Page [PAGE] of 9

Commented [M1]: Is this possible to summarize in a chart? It's hard to follow in narrative form.

Ex. 5 DP / Ex. 7(A)

September 29, 2004 for normal breathing losses from 37 fixed roof tanks in No. 6 fuel oil service is 0.468 lbs/hr (see Attachment 15). The representations indicate the maximum normal No. 6 fuel oil breathing losses is for a storage scenario that includes 12 fixed roof tanks with a 80,000 to 100,000 barrel capacity in service, and no fixed roof tanks with a 160,000 barrel capacity. A follow up clarification from ITC Deer Park should be requested by the Enforcement Officer about how storage of No. 6 fuel oil is authorized in 160,000 barrel fixed roof tanks. Attachment 11 indicates that the true VOC vapor pressures for the materials stored in these tanks was less than 0.5 psi, which is the maximum allowed without vent controls for fixed roof tanks with an

Commented [M4]: Same as above

Ex. 5 DP / Ex. 7(A)

80,000 or 160,000 barrel capacity.

Commented [M5]: Same as above



Section III - AREAS OF CONCERN

- Emissions from internal floating roof (IFR) Tanks 80-2, 80-7, 80-20 and 80-25 at Intercontinental Terminals Company LLC, Deer Park Terminal (ITC Deer Park) may exceed the tanks' volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions limits or permit application representations of Permit 1078. The tanks are subject to inspection and maintenance requirements under 30 TAC, Chapter 115 (all four), 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts A and Kb (Tank 80-25), 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts A and Ka (Tank 80-20), 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts A and K (Tanks 80-2 and 80-7), and 40 CFR Part 63, Subparts A and EEEE (all four).
- 2. Emissions from fixed roof tank 60-3 at ITC Deer Park may exceed the federally enforceable certified VOC emissions representations of Permit By Rule (PBR) Registration No. 95093.
- 3. Emissions from fixed roof tanks 80-9, 80-12, 160-1, 160-2 and 160-3 at ITC Deer Park may exceed the VOC limits or permit application representations of Permit 1078.

Commented [M6]: This seems unrelated to the VOC exceedance. Should it be a separate area of concern?

Attachments

- 1. Tank Inventory Lists Provided October 10, 2012
- 2. Site Plot Plans
- 3. CAA Section 114 Information Request Dated August 24, 2012
- ITC Response to CAA Section 114 Information Request Dated October 4, 2012 and November 1, 2012
- 5. Dun & Bradstreet Reports and Texas Secretary of State Corporation Information
- Compact Disk with Video and Image Files, Spreadsheet File with all PID data collected during the inspection (ITC PID Master File 10 10 to 10 12 2012), and a summary spreadsheet file (Summary Log of Data ITC)
- 7. Photo Log
- 8. Equipment List
- 9. PID Calibration Records
- 10. ITC Inspection Master Log of Data and Inspection Observations
- 11. ITC Response to Information Requested During Inspection Dated October 26, 2012
- 12. TCEQ Permit 1078, Issued January 30, 2012
- 13. TCEQ Permit 1078 Application IFR Tank Emissions Representations Dated June 4, 2007
- PBR Registration Representations Dated February 21, 2011, TCEQ PBR Registration No. 95093
- TCEQ Permit 1078 Application Fixed Roof Tank Emissions Representations Dated September 29, 2004

TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE CORPORATE ENTITY INFORMATION

Entity Information: INTERCONTINENTAL TERMINALS COMPANY LLC

PO BOX 698

DEER PARK, TX 77536-0698

Status: IN GOOD STANDING NOT FOR DISSOLUTION OR WITHDRAWAL

through May 15, 2013

Registered Agent: C T CORPORATION SYSTEM

350 N. ST. PAUL ST. STE. 2900

DALLAS, TX 75201

Registered Agent Resignation Date:

State of Formation: DE

 File Number:
 0800797340

 SOS Registration Date:
 March 30, 2007

 Taxpayer Number:
 17417104225

DIRECTOR BERNT NETLAND

1943 INDEPENDENCE PARKWAY

LA PORTE, TX 77571

CHIEF EXEC BERNT NETLAND

1943 INDEPENDENCE PARKWAY

LA PORTE, TX 77571

PRESIDENT BERNT NETLAND

1943 INDEPENDENCE PARKWAY

LA PORTE , TX 77571

CHAIRMAN ISAO KURODA

200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK , NY 10166

DIRECTOR ISAO KURODA

200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK , NY 10166

DIRECTOR JEFFREY MARLOUGH

200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK , NY 10166

DIRECTOR SHINICHI KON

200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK , NY 10166

DIRECTOR TATSURO ISHIKAWA

200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK , NY 10166

DIRECTOR YUICHI MASUDA

1300 POST OAK BLVD. STE 1700

HOUSTON, TX 77056

Intercontinental Terminals Company LLC
Deer Park Terminal
FY 2013 Inspection – ATTACHMENT 7
Photo Log

Photo 1

Tank 80-9, IR Image Showing Emission in Automatic Mode from Vent on Roof of Insulated Tank. Picture taken by Cary Secrest at 15:58 on October 10, 2012 (IR_0429).



Intercontinental Terminals Company LLC
Deer Park Terminal
FY 2013 Inspection – ATTACHMENT 8
Equipment List

Equipment List Intercontinental Terminals Company LLC, Deer Park Terminal October 10-12, 2012

- IR camera manufactured by FLIR, Model GF320, Serial Number 4444009966. The optical gas imaging IR camera
- PID manufactured by Ion Science, PhoCheck Tiger, Serial Number T-106291 with a 10.6 eV lamp. This PID was calibrated with isobutylene, and is capable of detecting VOC down to around 1 ppb, depending on the gas.
- PID manufactured by Ion Science, PhoCheck Tiger Select, Serial Number T-106544, 10.6 eV lamp. This PID's lamp is equipped with a 10.0 eV glass filter that reduces the lamp output to 10.0 eV. This PID can be operated with a pre-filter tube to detect benzene-specific emissions. This PID was calibrated with benzene, and is capable of detecting benzene down to around 10 ppb.